

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

The claims presently pending before the Examiner are 11 and 22.

The transitional phrase “comprises” has been deleted from claim 11 and Applicant has inserted in lieu thereof consists of.

Claims 11 and 22 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. US 4,541,945 in view of Lucas et al. US 3,135,632. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent process claim 11 and dependent claim 22 have been limited by employing “consists of” and “consisting of” language as the transitional language. Thus, the process of claim 11 is now limited to the chemicals in the composition which are specifically recited.

In view of the foregoing limitations made to claim 11, it is respectfully submitted that claims 11 and 22 distinguish over the combination of Anderson ‘945 in view of Lucas ‘632. Accordingly, since the rejection under § 103(a) has been overcome, its withdrawal is respectfully solicited.


Applicants respectfully request the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Please charge any fees which may be due and which have not been submitted herewith to our Deposit Account No. 01-0035.

Respectfully submitted,

ABELMAN, FRAYNE & SCHWAB  
Attorneys for Applicant

By

  
Jay S. Cinamon  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 24,156

666 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10017-5621  
Tel.: (212) 949-9022  
Fax: (212) 949-9190